

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STAT Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/198,004 11/23/98 CARVER Ε 116310.014 **EXAMINER** IM22/1108 MARK D GIARRATANA ALEXANDER, L CUMMINGS AND LOCKWOOD GRANITE SQUARE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 700 STATE STREET P 0 BOX 1960 1743 NEW HAVEN CT 06509-1960 **DATE MAILED:**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

11/08/00

PTO-90C (Rev. 2/95) 1- File Copy

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/198,004

Approvent(s)

Carver et al.

Examiner

Lyle A. Alexander

Group Art Unit 1743



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 28, 2000	<u> </u>
🔀 This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	e to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1, 3-6, 31, and 33-44	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 1, 3-6, and 40	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	ng Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	cted to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗀 approved 🗆 disapproved.
\square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	/ under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	of the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu	
received in this national stage application from the	
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prior	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	ity dilati de dicion y materia
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	No(s).
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	148
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
	•
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

pages

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1,3-6 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Tsuii et al. Surjaatmadja et al., Sainz et al.

See the appropriate paragraph of paper 14.

The cited prior art teaches methods and apparatus for analysis of reagent mixtures with a plurality of pumping means forming a plurality of sample streams.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 8/28/00 have been fully considered but they are not fully persuasive.

Applicants' state Tsuji fails to teach varying the flow rate of either the blood sample or the standard solution to provide various mixtures thereof. With respect to the apparatus claims 1,3-6 and 40, the method of intended use is of no patentable moment as long as the apparatus has the ability to be used in the claimed manner. The Office asserts Tsuji teaches a device capable of varying the relative flow rates.

Applicants state Surjaatmadja and Sainz fail to teach the claimed method of blood analysis.

Concerning apparatus claims 1,3-6 and 40, the method of intended use is of no patentable moment as long as the apparatus has the ability to be used in the claimed manner.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 31,33-39 and 41-44 are allowed.
- 5. Conclusion
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office

09/198,004

pages

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Lyle A. Alexander at telephone number (703) 308-3893.

LYLE A. ALEXANDER
PRIMARY EXAMINER